Document 40-2

Filed 08/01/2005

Page 1 of 3

EXHIBIT A

LAW OFFICES

SOMMERS, SCHWARTZ, SILVER & SCHWARTZ

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

2000 TOWN CENTER

SUITE 900

LEON M. SCHURGIN STANLEY S. SCHWARTZ I FONARD B. SCHWARTZ VICTOR A COEN LAWRENCE WARREN RICHARD L. GROFFSKY STEVEN J. SCHWARTZ DAVID J. WINTER JOSEPH E. GRINNAN JOHN F. VOS III JEFEREY N. SHILL MAN DAVID M BLACK

> B.A. TYLER DANIEL D. SWANSON MICHAEL J. CUNNINGHAM J. LEE TILSON PATRICK J BURKETT

SAM G. MORGAN

PATRICK B. MCCAULEY MATTHEW G. CURTIS JUSTIN C. RAVITZ CHARLES R. ASH III GARY A. TABACK Case PELEN 4 JOYNER 70366-JAC-RSW

DAVID R. GETTO

PAUL W. HINES

JAMES J. VLASIC

NORMAN D. TUCKER

ROBERT H. DARLING

DONALD J. GASIOREK

ALLEN J. KOVINSKY ROBERT J. SCHWARTZ JOSEPH A. GOLDEN ROBERT B. SICKELS* WILLIAM M. BRUKOFF JOHN L. RUNCO* RICHARD D. TOTH PATRICIA A. STAMLER LISA K PERNICK ALLEN J. WALL RICHARD D. FOX JOSEPH H BOURGON FRANK MAFRICE TRACY L. ALLEN

SOLITHERE D. MICHIGAN, 48075-1100

(248) 355-0300 FAX: (248) 746-4001 INTERNET MAIL: EMAIL@\$40NLINE.COM WEB SITE: WWW.S4ONLINE.COM

> 409 PLYMOUTH ROAD SUITE 200 DOCUMENT 0-2834

PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15219-2071 (800) 967-1234 *ALSO ADMITTED IN PENNSY! VANIA

GERALD D KELLER ANDREW KOCHANOWSKI MURRAY C. SLOMOVITZ ANNE M. SCHOEPFLE JAY G. YASSO KENNETH T. WATKINS DAVID J. SZYMANSKI GERALD D. WAHL DAVID F. GRECO PETER L. SCHWARTZ LENA AGREE JENNIFER M. GRIECO

Filed 08/01/206 FERON FRANCE 2 of BAULL NINE, P.C. ERICKA M. JACKSON SHALINA D. KUMAR MICHAEL E. GERAGHTY BETH N. DESMON RESECCA M TURNER BRADLEY W. BUTCHER NABEEL N. HAMAMEH

DANIELLE C. SCHOENY

SENIOR COUNSEL NORMAN S. SOMMERS HOWARD SILVER CHARLES S. FARMER DAVID ALLAN KAPLAN

OF COUNSEL PAUL GROFFSKY DAVID L. NELSON MARVIN R. STEMPIEN H. ROLLIN ALLEN

KENNETH V. COCKREL (1938-1989) JEREMY L. WINER (1942-2001)

March 31, 2004

Via Facsimile:

Dickinson Wright, PLLC L. Pahl Zinn, Esq. 500 Woodward Ave., Ste. 4000 Detroit, MI 48226

> NetJumper, L.L.C. v Google, Inc. Re:

> > USDC Case No. 04-70366

Dear Mr. Zinn:

We received your Answer to our Complaint and Counter-Claim. Had you or Google's California counsel inquired, you would have learned that NetJumper had discontinued the "goograb" demonstration on its website. You would also have learned that the demonstration was made in response to Google's own API invitation to developers to demonstrate Google technology to potential third-party customers and was made known to Google's Celeste Chung prior to being put up. Ms. Chung did not object to the demo, presumably because it conformed to Google's API license, and was a vehicle to demonstrate to Google first forward surfacing.

Further, as should be clear, the "goograb" demonstration that is the subject of your counter-claim was not a commercial product to generate income, as your counter-claim wrongly suggests. Had you inquired, you would have learned that the demo generated less than 500 views before being taken down, and resulted in no sales.

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

In short, putting aside issues of actual and/or implied license, no confusion, no dilution, and other defenses that could and will be asserted had "goograb" been anything but a *de minimis* demonstration, your counter-claim appears to be moot in light of NetJumper's own decision to delete it from its site. We accordingly ask that you voluntarily dismiss that portion of the counter-claim that deals with the "goograb" demonstration. If we are forced to respond to and litigate this part of the counter-claim in litigation, we will treat it as the frivolous pleading that it is and seek appropriate sanctions at the appropriate time. I trust that we need not come to this, however, and can litigate this case as the patent infringement dispute that this matter is actually aboute 2:04-cv-70366-JAC-RSW Document 40-2 Filed 08/01/2005 Page 3 of 3

Very truly yours,

SOMMERS, SCHWARTZ, SILVER & SCHWARTZ, P.C.

and Hochel ".

Andrew Kochanowski

AK:dlh